WASTE ENCAPSULATION AND STORAGE FACILITY ADDENDUM I INSPECTION PLAN CHANGE CONTROL LOG

Change Control Logs ensure that changes to this unit are performed in a methodical, controlled, coordinated, and transparent manner. Each unit addendum will have its own change control log with a modification history table. The "**Modification Number**" represents Ecology's method for tracking the different versions of the permit. This log will serve as an up to date record of modifications and version history of the unit.

Modification History Table

Modification Date	Modification Number
11/16/2020	8C.2020.10F

WA7890008967 Hanford Facility RCRA Permit Dangerous Waste Portion

This page intentionally left blank.

WA7890008967 Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility

1	
2	WASTE ENCAPSULATION AND STORAGE FACILITY
3	ADDENDUM I
4	INSPECTION PLAN
5	
6	

WA7890008967 Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility

1		
2		
3		
4		
_		

This page intentionally left blank.

1 2 3 4		ADDENDUM I INSPECTION PLAN	
5 6	TABLE	OF CONTENTS	
7	I.1	Inspection Plan	5
8	I.1.1	General Inspection Requirements [WAC 173-303-320]	5
9	I.1.2	Inspection Log [WAC 173-303-320(2)(d)]	8
10	I.1.3	Remedy Schedule [WAC 173-303-320(3)]	9
11 12	I.1.4	Summary and Frequency of Dangerous Waste Management Unit Items to be Inspected [WAC 173-303-320(2)(c)]	9
13	I.1.4.1	Pool Cell Beta Monitoring System	10
14	I.1.4.2	Pool Cell Water Level	10
15	I.1.4.3	225-B Building	10
16			
17	TABLE	S	
18	Table I-	1 Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility Inspection Schedule	5
19			
20			

WA7890008967 Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility

1
2
3
4
5

This page intentionally left blank.

I.1 Inspection Plan

1

9

19

- 2 In accordance with Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-303-806(4)(a)(v), Dangerous Waste
- Regulations, Final facility permits; WAC 173-303-320, General inspection; and WAC 173-303-340,
- 4 Preparedness and prevention; this inspection plan is designed to prevent malfunctions, deterioration,
- 5 operator errors, and discharges at the Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility (WESF) Operating Unit
- 6 Group. These events may cause or lead to the release of dangerous waste constituents to the environment
- 7 or a threat to human health. This inspection plan is also designed to provide early warning of the
- 8 potential for such events in order to make timely corrections or take preventative actions.

I.1.1 General Inspection Requirements [WAC 173-303-320]

- 10 Inspections within the schedule are performed by qualified personnel according to a frequency that has
- been developed through both regulatory requirements and operating experience (Table I-1). For
- frequencies not defined by specific regulatory requirements, a justification for the frequency will be
- documented and maintained in the Hanford Facility Operating Record (WESF portion) in accordance
- with WA7890008967, Hanford Facility Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Permit,
- 15 (hereinafter referred to as Hanford Facility RCRA Permit). During an inspection, inspectors evaluate
- each inspection item against its associated acceptance criteria, defined in the schedule (Table I-1). The
- 17 results of the inspections are documented in inspection logs that are dated and signed by the inspector,
- 18 and retained in the Hanford Facility Operating Record (WESF portion) for at least 5 years.

Table I-1 Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility Inspection Schedule

Inspection Item/Area	Frequency	Types of Problems and Evaluation Criteria		
	General Facility			
Posted Warning Signs ^a Location specified in Addendum E	A	Problem: Dangerous waste warning signs missing, not in proper location, not visible, or not in good condition.		
		Check condition of dangerous waste warning signs. Ensure signs are visible, in good condition, and verify the location of the signs.		
225-B Automatic Sprinkler System Valves and Riser Pressure Gauges	M	Problem: Valve seals not intact. Pressure below operable range.		
Facility-wide system		Check that equipment is in good condition, valve seals are intact, and water pressure is available.		
225-B Automatic Sprinkler System Valve Functional Test	A	Problem: Valve and alarms are not operational.		
Facility-wide system		Verify that valves and associated alarms are functional.		
Fire Extinguishers Location specified in Addendum J	M	Problem: Missing fire extinguisher, improper type of fire extinguisher, or inaccessible fire extinguisher.		
		Ensure that fire extinguishers are visible, in proper location, the proper type, easily accessible, and adequately pressurized for use (i.e., gauge reading).		

Table I-1 Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility Inspection Schedule

Inspection Item/Area	Frequency	Types of Problems and Evaluation Criteria
Fire Alarm/Pull Boxes Location specified in Addendum J	A	Problem: Fire alarms/pull boxes are not operational. Hanford Fire Department verifies that fire alarms/pull boxes are operational by
Emergency Lighting	M	performing functional test. Problem: Lighting is not present or
Facility-wide system		operational. Verify that equipment is present and functional.
Communications Equipment Facility-wide system	A	Problem: Equipment is not operational. Check that equipment is present and operating.
Safety Shower Location specified in Addendum J	M	Problem: Shower is not present or operational. Check that agreement is present and
		Check that equipment is present and functional.
Spill Kits Location specified in Addendum J	M	Problem: Seal is broken and required spill response equipment/supplies missing from the spill kit.
		Check the tamper seal on the spill kit. If the spill kit has been opened since the last inspection, inventory the spill kit contents. Affix seal after inventory check/restocking.
	A	Problem: Required spill response equipment/supplies missing from the spill kit or are expired.
		Perform a physical inventory of spill kit. Replace missing and expired items.
Eyewash Stations	SA	Problem: Missing or empty equipment.
Portable		Check for proper function and operation.
Exterior Surfaces and Surrounding Area ^a 225-B Building	A	Problem: Cracks, gaps, or other degradation of the building, which could compromise the integrity of the storage system and cause leaking of the pool cells.
		Check for structural damage to the building. Check outside the building for liquid accumulation or signs of hazardous waste releases.

Table I-1 Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility Inspection Schedule

Inspection Item/Area	Frequency	Types of Problems and Evaluation Criteria
	Storage	9
Pool Cell Beta Monitoring System: Data from Leak Detection Equipment Monitors (i.e., leakage of the capsules, NOT pool cell water)	Dp	Problem: Monitor readings are not within range. Verify that beta monitors for applicable pool cells are within acceptable range.
Pool Cells Sump Leak Detector Alarms ^c	A	Problem: Alarms not operational. Verify alarms are functional.
Pool Cell Beta Alarms	M	Problem: Alarms not functional. Verify that equipment is functional.
Pool Cell Water Level	D_{p}	Problem: Water level not within range. Check that water level is within acceptable range.
Pool Cell Low Water Level Alarm	Q	Problem: Alarms not functional. Verify that equipment is functional.
Hot Cell G	W	Problem: Evidence of spills, cracks, gaps, or other degradation on the visible areas of the floor and sump. Check for evidence of spills, leaks, or cracks on the visible areas of the floor and sump.
Truckport	Before Vertical Concrete Cask (VCC) is placed in Truckport and after transfer	Problem: Evidence of spills, cracks, gaps, or other degradation. Check for evidence of spills, leaks, or cracks.
Exterior of VCC	Before capsule loading and before transfer from WESF	Problem: Degradation or damage to the cask. Missing or obscured labels on the casks. Check for deterioration and damage on the VCC exterior. Verify that cask labels are visible, unobscured, and in good condition.
Truckport Apron	Before VCC is placed on apron and after transfer	Problem: Evidence of spills, cracks, gaps, or other degradation. Check for evidence of spills, leaks, or cracks.
,	Waste Handling E	equipment ^e
Canyon Crane	D	Problem: Crane is not operational. Check for proper function and operation.
Manipulators	D	Problem: Manipulator is not operational. Check for proper function and operation.

Table I-1 Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility Inspection Schedule

Inspection Item/Area	Frequency	Types of Problems and Evaluation Criteria
Air Pallet ^d	D	Problem: Air pallet is not operational.
		Check for proper function and operation.
Loading and Sealing Equipment ^d	TBD	
Dry Transfer System ^d	A	Problem: Hoist is not functioning properly.
		Visually inspect hoist components for proper function and operation.

^aAfter initiation of closure, see Addendum H, "Closure Plan," for required inspections.

Unless otherwise noted, inspection frequencies are defined by the following periodicities:

Daily (D) = Once per calendar day.

Weekly (W) = Once per calendar week with a period that runs from Sunday to Saturday.

Monthly (M) = Once per calendar month.

Quarterly (Q) = Once per calendar quarter.

Semiannually (SA) = Once per 6-month calendar period.

Annually (A) = At least once per 12-month period ± 30 days.

- 2 This inspection plan addresses the following unit group specific items:
- General facility.

1

4

5

- Storage areas.
 - Waste handling equipment.
- 6 Management-level staff are responsible for developing the individual training plans for personnel
- 7 performing inspections. These staff may include the Facility Director or Facility Manager personnel.
- 8 Inspections may be performed by either facility operations personnel or Hanford Fire Department
- 9 personnel. All inspectors shall have the appropriate training as outlined in WESF Addendum G,
- 10 "Personnel Training."

11 I.1.2 Inspection Log [WAC 173-303-320(2)(d)]

- 12 Inspections implemented through operating requirements will be documented on inspection checklists or
- log sheets in accordance with WAC 173-303-320(2)(d). Inspection checklists or log sheets will note the
- date and time of the inspection and which items will be assessed during each inspection. Any identified
- problems or discrepancies (e.g., spills or discharges), and the date and nature of any repairs or remedial
- 16 actions taken, will be recorded on the inspection checklist or log sheet, reported to the operating
- 17 organizations, and prioritized and addressed in a timely fashion as described in Section I.1.3.
- 18 When the inspection is completed, the inspector's full name is printed and signed on the inspection
- 19 checklist or log sheet. The schedule and inspection records will be maintained and stored in the Hanford
- 20 Facility Operating Record (WESF portion) in accordance with the record retention requirements set forth
- 21 in WAC 173-303-320(2)(a) and (d) and WAC 173-303-380(1)(e), Facility recordkeeping. The schedule
- will be electronically available at 225-B.

^bInspections will be on scheduled work days, excluding Hanford Facility closure days.

^cApplies to Pool Cells 1-4, 6-8, and 12.

^dInspections will occur upon initiation of capsule transfer operations.

^eInspections are applicable only during equipment use. If the facility equipment has been inactive and inspections have been suspended, then an initial inspection will be conducted prior to use.

I.1.3 Remedy Schedule [WAC 173-303-320(3)]

- 2 Problems and unanticipated substandard conditions identified by the inspector are documented on the
- 3 inspection log and reported to facility management for prioritization and scheduling of remedial actions to
- 4 minimize environmental or human health incidents. Problems identified during inspections are
- 5 categorized into three general areas and addressed accordingly. The areas include imminent hazards to
- 6 human health and the environment, problems that can be easily remedied with little or no planning, and
- 7 maintenance items that require planning and coordination to correct:
 - When an identified problem poses an imminent risk to human health or the environment, actions are taken immediately to mitigate the hazard. This may include activation of the Building Emergency Plan for WESF (located in WESF Addendum J, "Contingency Plan") and the Hanford Emergency Management Plan (located in Hanford Facility RCRA Permit Attachment 4) when contingency plan action levels are exceeded. Examples of problems that warrant immediate action include active releases of dangerous waste to the environment (e.g., capsule leak) and failure of systems that mitigate potential releases (e.g., building ventilation, pool cell system).
 - Problems identified during an inspection that are easily corrected (e.g., no maintenance planning required) such as sign replacement will be corrected within 24 hours or tracked until completion.
 - Other problems that cannot be easily corrected are addressed on a prioritized schedule. Actions to assess and remedy such problems are assigned, and a schedule for completion is determined.
- 19 Inspections are completed by using either inspection logs or through a job control database. Problems
- 20 identified using an inspection log are noted on the inspection log and either corrected during the time of
- 21 the inspection or tracked on each subsequent inspection log until corrected. Problems identified using the
- job control database are noted on the inspection form and either corrected during the time of the
- 23 inspection or the problem is added to the job control database to be addressed according to a remedy
- schedule.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

38

39

- 25 An overall schedule for remedying problems would include time to develop a maintenance instruction in
- 26 conjunction with any schedule constraints such as parts availability, fabrication, environmental, and
- 27 facility access limitations. The time to develop a maintenance instruction depends on a number of factors,
- 28 including nuclear, radiological, and industrial safety hazards associated with the task, complexity of the
- 29 task; human factors and performance considerations; skill of worker(s); and risk to the worker(s), public,
- 30 or the environment.
- 31 The inspection problem resolution process may include an inspection data sheet that identifies the criteria
- for the inspection, relays identified problems onto an action tracking list, and develops maintenance
- instructions for problems based on the actions tracking list. The remedies for problems identified are
- developed using maintenance instructions and prioritized on a schedule as described above. Problems
- 35 pending resolution and their associated tracking designation will be noted until the remedy is complete.
- 36 Information from the inspection checklist or log sheet will be maintained in the Hanford Facility
- 37 Operating Record (WESF portion) in accordance with the Hanford Facility RCRA Permit Condition II.I.

I.1.4 Summary and Frequency of Dangerous Waste Management Unit Items to be Inspected [WAC 173-303-320(2)(c)]

- 40 Due to the highly radioactive nature of the mixed waste capsules and the underwater storage
- 41 configuration, weekly inspection of the capsules (in accordance with WAC 173-303-630(6), *Use and*
- 42 management of containers) would require removal of each capsule. Capsule removal is a highly complex
- 43 operation that is only performed due to emergencies or required maintenance. Removing capsules on a
- 44 weekly basis from the pool water would increase the risk for physical capsule damage, thermal cycling
- 45 failure, and unnecessary radiation exposure to personnel. The cesium and strontium salts are contained in
- double-walled capsules that have been designed, welded, undergone various performance tests, and stored

- in a manner to ensure that capsule integrity is maintained (see WESF Addendum C, "Process
- 2 Information," for further information on WESF capsule properties and storage operations).

3 I.1.4.1 Pool Cell Beta Monitoring System

- 4 Even though a capsule leak is highly unlikely, the pool cells use a beta monitoring system to continuously
- 5 monitor the pool cell water for radioactivity from cesium and strontium. The pool cell beta monitors are
- 6 inspected to ensure that values are within 5 to 50 counts-per-minute (cpm). At values outside the
- 7 acceptable range, an alarm will sound. Pool cell beta monitors can be used for capsule leak detection
- 8 because the dangerous and radioactive components of mixed waste are inseparable; therefore, a release of
- 9 dangerous waste or dangerous waste constituents that does not exhibit radioactivity is not possible. The
- 10 pool cell beta monitors are the primary method to detect a capsule malfunction. Inspection of beta range
- and the functionality of the alarms ensures capsules are stored within an acceptable range and the alarms
- 12 are operating properly.

13 I.1.4.2 Pool Cell Water Level

- 14 The pool cells are monitored to ensure that water levels are within acceptable range. Pool Cells 1 through
- 15 8 are inspected to ensure that water levels are between 381 and 399 cm (150 and 157 in.). Pool Cell 12 is
- inspected to ensure that water levels are between 305 and 323 cm (120 and 127 in.). A low water level
- alarm will sound at 351 cm (138 in.) and 274 cm (108 in.) in Pool Cells 1-8 and Pool Cell 12,
- 18 respectively.

19 **I.1.4.3 225-B Building**

- The 225-B Building, which houses the WESF dangerous waste management units, will be inspected on an
- 21 annual basis. This inspection will include a walkdown of the outside of the 225-B Building, with
- 22 emphasis on the pool cells portion of the facility. The inspector will check for signs of structural damage
- and deterioration (i.e., subsidence around the foundation, unusual settling, or major cracks in the
- 24 structure) of the building. These types of structural damage or deterioration may cause or could
- 25 potentially lead to the release of mixed waste to the environment or threat to human health. The
- 26 surrounding area will also be inspected to check for liquid accumulation and signs of release of hazardous
- waste.
- 28 The items subject to inspection and their respective evaluation criteria are identified in Table I-1.